

Western Cape Government

Education

Annexure E: Technical Indicator Descriptions for the Annual Performance Plan of the WCED for 2013/14

Programme 1: Administration

| PPI. | 1.1 | | |
|------|--------------------------|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identifiy the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Ensure financial management |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | To provide overall management of and support to the education system in accordance with the National Education Policy Act, Public Finance Management Act and other relevant policies |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show?3.2 Why is it important? | Compliance with laid down policies and financial statements with no material misstatements Good governance |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | 5 |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Auditor-general management report |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Director Financial Accounting |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Reports issued by Auditor-general |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Not calculated |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | None |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Outcomes |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | On target desirable |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Director Financial Accounting |

| PPI 1 | PPI 1.2 | | | |
|-------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identifiy the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Deviations in respect of tenders above R500 000 | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | It is an indication where exceptions were made, within allowed rules and regulations, within Supply Chain Management | |
| 3 | PurposeImportance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it 3.1 What does indicator show? | is important No of deviations | |

| PPI | 1.2 | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | Indication of either unplanned event or unforeseen circumstances | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is collected: | | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Supply Chain management records | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Director Procurement Management | |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Manual system of record keeping | |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Number of cases of deviations | |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | None | |
| 7 | Type of Indicator Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) economy or (viii) equity | | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Activities | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, <u>OR</u> non-cumulative | | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | Х | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | t longer time intervals | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | Re-worded to clarify that this refers to tenders | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Lower performance desirable | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Director Procurement Management | |

| PPI 2 | PPI 2.1 | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Percentage of learners retained in the school system from Grades 10 – 12 | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | Measure of the degree (%) to which learners that enter grade 10 continue to grade 12 in Public Ordinary Schools for the same cohort. | |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | A higher % of learners remain in the system until grade 12 | |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | Academically better prepared work force; better opportunity for learners; access to tertiary education enhanced; reduce the vulnerability rate amongst learners; more efficient deployment of support (social) | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | collected: | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Annual School Survey | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Mr . S. Hansraj | |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Extracted from CEMIS for ASS. | |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | The number of Gr12 learners divided by the number of Gr10 learners for the same cohort (2 years earlier) as a percentage. | |

| PPI | PPI 2.1 | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| | | | | |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | The calculation is for Public Ordinary schools only and is dependent on the unit record administration at schools. It does not reflect all learners in all education sectors. This excludes in and out migration of learners, deaths, and other factors. | |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Output; Efficiency; Economy; Equity | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or a | t longer time intervals | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Annually, on the previous academic year, in first quarter of following year | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Higher than target desirable. | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Co-ordinated by CD ED's; data collected and reported by KM; Collaborative effort including many role players | |

| PPI 2 | 2.2 | | |
|-------|-----------------------|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Number of additional schools secured with an alarm linked to armed response, burglar bars, and stone guards on windows. |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | The indicator would demonstrate the programme's systemic injection [input] in raising the safety levels at schools and the direct results over a defined period. |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | It shows the measures instituted by a school to raise its safety profile. |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | This would account for minimum measures that should be in place at a school. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | collected: |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Financial reports indicating the purchase of goods and services for particular schools. |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Nariman Khan/Oscar Apollis |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Internally |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Number of schools counted |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | None |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some othe dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) economy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Inputs |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |

| PPI 2 | PPI 2.2 | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | X | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | longer time intervals | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | X | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Same as previous year | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | More schools equipped; but less incidents occurring. Should be as per target. | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Safe schools co-ordinator at districts; safe schools manager at Head Office | |

| PPI 2 | 2.3 | | |
|-------|-----------------------|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Number of bursaries awarded to deserving students, for four years of formal study at Higher Education Institutions. |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | The number of bursaries awarded to enable aspiring teachers to qualify in scarce subject areas - both new and continuing bursaries. |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show?3.2 Why is it important? | The number of bursary recipients. To indicate how many newly qualified teachers in scarce subject areas are capacitated per annum to alleviate the demand. Ensuring a constant pool of educators. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from?4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name)4.3 How is data collected? | From individual bursary files and bursary contracts. Director: Human Resource Management By maintaining effective record keeping and communication with bursary holders. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | The number of active bursary contracts as at 31 March at the end of the financial year concerned. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Unexpected drop out /falure of students. |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other |
| | | | Input |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, 8.1 Cumulative | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or al 9.1 Quarterly? 9.2 Annually? 9.3 Other? Please state | t longer time intervals X |
| 10 | Indicator History | | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? 10.3 Same as previous year | X |

| PPI 2 | PPI 2.3 | | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Actual performance should match target. | | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Human Resource Management | | |

| PPI 2 | 2.4 | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Educator absenteeism in public ordinary schools expressed as a % |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | The percentage of working days lost due to educator absenteeism in public ordinary schools. [result to be expressed as a % of the total number of actual working days in the school year/quarter] |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | The % of possible working days lost due to educator absenteeism during a specific school year/quarter. |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | The status informs planning and intervention strategies to be developed to improve education policy and support/discipline. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | collected: |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | PERSAL |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Directorate: Human Resource Management |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Data extracted from Persal at a particular point in time to reflect educator absenteeism during a quarter or annually. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Calculation Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | • The data is collected by using the start date of the leave in order to determine in which quarter the leave falls |
| | | | Should the leave period (leave approved over two quarters or longer) fall outside the reporting period, the leave taken will be included in the reporting period that corresponds with the end of the leave. |
| | | | • The number of days of leave taken is calculated as a % of the total number of possible working days in a quarter. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | There is a time lag between the days taken and the processing of the documentation. There is a further lag in the computation of the categories as certain leave types are exempt and the leave regulations by which leave is calculated in 3 year cycles |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Outputs/efficiency |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | Dependence | 8.2 Non-cumulative | X |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or a | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? 9.2 Annually? | X |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| 10 | maicator mistory | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | X (however using a new database) |
| | | roto outrie us previous your | N (nowever using a new valanase) |

| PPI | PPI 2.4 | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Lower | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | The Chief-Director: Human Resource Management | |

| PPI 2 | 2.5 | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Learner absenteeism in public ordinary schools expressed as a % |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | The number of working days lost due to learner absenteeism in public ordinary schools. [result to be expressed as a % of the total number of actual working days in the school year/quarter] |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | The % of possible working days lost due to learner absenteeism during a specific school year. |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | The status informs planning and intervention strategies to be developed to improve education policy and support/discipline. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | s collected: |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | CEMIS |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Project manager: CEMIS– Directorate: Knowledge and |
| | | | Information Management |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | At each school learner attendance is required to be captured quarterly on the CEMIS system at the end of each term and no later than the first week of the next term. CTM per District can monitor the data on Eduinfosearch. The administrator at H/O draws reports upon request, but more specific for quarterly/annual reporting on the Learner Attendance. |
| | | | The attendance figures are then presented as a percentage of days lost due to Learner absence from school. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | The aggregated number of learners absent at all compliant public ordinary schools is expressed as a percentage of the total possible attendance days. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | In 2012 75% of schools complied with the data request. The compliance challenge will be attended to during 2013 as this is now an indicator in the School Improvement Plans. Schools that repeatedly return erroneous data will be identified and will receive training. |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | |
| 0 | Colculation time | Identify whether the reported performance is a wey define | Outputs/efficiency |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | Desertises a | 8.2 Non-cumulative | X |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | nonger ume intervais |

| PPI 2 | PPI 2.5 | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | Х | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly changed, or continues without change from the previous year: | | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Lower | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | The Chief-Director: Business Intelligence Management | |

| PPI | 2.6 | _ | |
|-----|-----------------------|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Numbers of teachers in Grades R-12 attending formal curriculum training programmes at the Cape Teaching and Leadership Institute |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | Number of educators attending in-service courses in subject content and teaching methodology presented by the CTLI, including Mathematics, Sciences and Languages. (To provide overall management of and support to the education system in accordance with the National Education Policy Act, and other relevant policies). The courses could be two weeks in duration OR one or two day short interventions. |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | t is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | The number of educators trained in the various categories |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | To strengthen content knowledge and practical teaching methodology, towards improved learning and teaching in the classroom. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Attendance registers |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | N Pasiya (Head: Cape Teaching and Leadership Institute) |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Count captured names |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Number of teachers successfully completing courses. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | None |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) ec | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Output |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Non-cumulative |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or a | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | Quarterly |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Same as previous year |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Extras are accommodated if possible. |

| PPI | PPI 2.6 | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | The Director: CTLI | |

| PPI 2 | 2.7 | | |
|-------|--------------------------|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identifiy the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Numbers of school management team members trained at the CTLI |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with | Records the number of members of school management teams |
| | | enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | who undergo formal training over a period of weeks and are |
| | | | certificated by the CTLI. Short courses (one or two days) are |
| | | | also included. |
| 3 | PurposeImportance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | Numbers of SMT members who have been exposed to elements of best practice. |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | Schools that suffer from poor management can have their success options improved if their managers develop new skills. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | s collected: |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Attendance Registers |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Programme manager. Records of attendance. |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Count of records. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Number of candidates successfully completing the courses |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | None |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Output |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Non- cumulative |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or a | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | Quarterly |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Same |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | On target |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Director CTLI |

| PPI 5 | PPI 5.1. | | | |
|-------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identifiy the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Number of students enrolled in NC(V) courses in FET Colleges | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | The NC(V) is a 3 year study programme. The records will show who has enrolled for the course of study leading to | |

| PPI | 5.1. | | |
|-----|--------------------------|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| | | | the certificate. |
| 3 | PurposeImportance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | t is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | Number of students enrolled in NC(V) courses in FET Colleges in the Western Cape. |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | The qualification opens doors to further study and to employment opportunities. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | FET Colleges enrolment data |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | FET Colleges submit the data to the Department of Higher Education and Training. |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Count of learner records |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Count of those enrolled for courses expressed in head count and FTE |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Records are kept at colleges and supplied to the DHET. |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) ec | 5 |
| | | | Input |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | X |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or a | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Same as previous year: it was previously a national Programme Performance Measure |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Higher |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Department of Higher Education and Training; FET College sector in Western Cape. |

| PPI 5 | PPI 5.2. | | | |
|-------|----------------------|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identifiy the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Number of FET College NC(V) students who completed full courses successfully | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | The NC(V) is a 3 year study programme. The students are required to write examinations at the end of each year. The records will show who qualifies for the certificate after completing all the requirements. | |
| 3 | PurposeImportance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | It is a count of numbers of who had successfully completed the programme of study that leads to the NC(V) qualification. | |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | This is the programme of study that is central to the programme offerings at colleges. | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is collected: | | |

| PPI | 5.2. | | |
|-----|--------------------------|--|---|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Learner records on the national examinations database |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Department of Higher Education and Training |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Learner records |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Individual records are presented on a summary list: it is a COUNT of successful candidates. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | None |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) ec | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Outcomes |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | Х |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or a | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Same as previous year: it was previously a national Programme Performance Measure |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Higher |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Department of Higher Education and Training; FET College sector in Western Cape. |

| PPI | PPI 6.1. | | | |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identifiy the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Number of learners enrolled in public ABET Centres. [Definition of ABET: All learning and training programmes for adults from Level 1 to 4, where ABET Level 4 is equivalent to Grade 9 in public schools or a National Qualifications Framework level 1, as contemplated in the South African Qualifications Authority Act, Number 58 of 1995.] | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | Record of the total number of learners (expected to be) enrolled in public ABET Centres in the planned financial year. | |
| 3 | PurposeImportance | nce Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it is important | | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | Record of the total number of learners (expected to be) enrolled in public ABET Centres in the planned financial year. | |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | AET is a key sector for skills and economic development and ensuring redress and opportunity. | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | collected: | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Snap and Annual Survey | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Snap and Annual Survey data are recorded and capturedon CEMIS. | |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Snap and Annual Survey are recorded and captured – this is a COUNT | |

| PPI (| 5.1. | | |
|-------|--------------------------|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Snap and Annual Survey are recorded and captured – this is a COUNT. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Records are maintained at centres and data captured on CEMIS. |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | ctivities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Efficiency |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | Х |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | inged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Same as previous year: it was previously a national Programme Performance Measure |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Higher |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Department of Higher Education and Training; AET sub- directorate in the Western Cape. |

| PPI (| PPI 6.2. | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identifiy the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Number of educators employed in public ABET Centres. [Definition of ABET: All learning and training programmes for adults from Level 1 to 4, where ABET Level 4 is equivalent to Grade 9 in public schools or a National Qualifications Framework level 1, as contemplated in the South African Qualifications Authority Act, Number 58 of 1995.] | | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the | Count of the number of educators employed in public | | |
| | | indicator | ABET Centres. NB.: This measure includes both part-time | | |
| | | | and full time (Headcount) ABET educators. | | |
| 3 | PurposeImportance | PurposeImportance Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it is important | | | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | How many educators are employed in public ABET Centres | | |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | There needs to be a balance between students and staff | | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | collected: | | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | Public ABET Centres supply data to CEMIS.through the Annual Survey | | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Directorate Knowledge Management | | |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | The Annual Survey data colection | | |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Count of the number of educators employed in public ABET Centres. NB.: This measure includes both part-time and full time (Headcount) ABET educators | | |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Records are maintained at centres and data captured on CEMIS | | |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | ctivities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity | | |

| PPI (| 6.2. | | |
|-------|--------------------------|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| | | | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | Х |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Same as previous year: it was previously a national Programme Performance Measure |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Higher |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Department of Higher Education and Training; AET sub- diectorate in Western Cape. |

| PPI 7 | PPI 7.1 | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Additional ECD kits to Public Ordinary Schools | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | 100 selected Primary Schools that have Grade R classes are provided with ECD indoor and outdoor equipment to assist with inter-active and effective learning and teaching | |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show?3.2 Why is it important? | Number and names of schools that received ECD kits To update the database of schools that received ECD Kits and to avoid the duplication of services. | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | collected: | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | District lists | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | District IMG ECD manager | |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Survey – district database | |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | School count | |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Vandalism and burglaries that occur at schools could be the reason for the disappearance of ECD kits. Schools that have been affected by burglaries would then require an additional ECD Kit and the number of schools that would still require ECD kits would be increased. | |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Efficiency, economy and equity | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | t longer time intervals | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | X | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |

| PPI | PPI 7.1 | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | inged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or | | |
| | | lower than targeted performance is desirable | On target | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting | | |
| | | the indicator | DIM ECD CES S Fortuin | |

| PPI | PPI 7.2 | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Additional ECD kits to Independent Schools | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | 50 selected Independent schools that have Grade R classes are provided with ECD indoor and outdoor equipment to assist with inter- active and effective learning and teaching | |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? 3.2 Why is it important? | Number and names of schools that received ECD kits To update the database of schools that received ECD Kits and to avoid the duplication of services. | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | s collected: | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | District lists | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | District IMG ECD manager | |
| | - | 4.3 How is data collected? | Survey – district database | |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | School count | |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Vandalism and burglaries that occur at schools could be the reason for the disappearance of ECD kits. Schools that have been affected by burglaries would then require an additional ECD Kit and the number of schools that would still require ECD kits would be increased. | |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Efficiency, economy & equity | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or a | longer time intervals | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |
| 10 | Indicator History | | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | On target | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | DIM ECD CES S Fortuin | |

| PPI 8 | 8.1 | | |
|-------|--------------------------|---|---|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identifiy the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Number of maintenance projects completed. |
| Ρ | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | The number of completed scheduled maintenance projects is counted and recorded. |
| 3 | PurposeImportance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | It shows the number of schools that receive scheduled maintenance for the period |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | It keeps track of the WCED's efforts to keep schools functional for learning and teaching purposes. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | DTPW, and implementing agents of the WCED provide the "Practical Completion Certificates" that indicate that a project has been signed off. |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | DD: Infrastructure Maintenance. |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Record the number of practical completion certificates. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Count of schools |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Since implementing agents are external agents, the WCED does not always have control of numbers recorded. Also, the work requested may not necessarily be the work that is delivered. |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Output; impact |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | X |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or a | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | X |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | |
| 10 | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | 5 | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | Х |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | On target |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | DD: Infrastructure property and maintenance |

| PPI 9 | PPI 9.1 | | | |
|-------|---------------------|---|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | % of learners in Grade 3 attaining acceptable outcomes in Lamguage | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | This measures the proportion of learners participating in the Grade 3 systemic tests (Language),who are able to pass the tests. The pass mark for the tests is set at 50% | |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | The indicator shows the general level of proficiency of learners who are attending school at the foundation phase. | |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | This indicator is important as it measures the effectiveness of the education system at the foundation phase | |

| PPI | | Deschulture | Der |
|---------|---|---|---|
| No 4 | Technical Indicator Source/Collection of | Description | Response |
| т | Data | Describe where the information comes from and how it is 4.1 Where does data come from? | The report of the systemic tests is the basic data source. The report contains the total number of learners who write the tests with a disaggregation of the proportion that passes and |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | the proportion that do not pass the test. |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Directorate: Research It is extracted from the final report that is submitted by the |
| | | | external service providers appointed to administer the systemic tests. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | The number of learners who pass the test (50% and above) is expressed as a percentage of the total number of learners who wrote the test. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Schools that have less than 5 learners in Grade 3 do not take |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | | part in the systemic tests. activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Outcomes |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | X |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | The actual performance is higher than the targeted performance |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Management of the indicator is a function of the Curriculum Branch while reporting on the indicator is a function of the Directorate: Research. |
| PPI | 9.2 | | |
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | % of learners in Grade 3 attaining acceptable outcomes i Mathematics |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | This measures the proportion of learners participating in the Grade 3 systemic tests (Mathematics), who are able to pass the tests. The pass mark for the tests is set at 50% |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | The indicator shows the general level of proficiency of learners who are attending school at the foundation phase. |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | This indicator is important as it measures the effectiveness of the education system at the foundation phase |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | The report of the systemic tests is the basic data source. Th report contains the total number of learners who write the tests with a disaggregation of the proportion that passes and the proportion that do not pass the test. |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Directorate: Research |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | It is extracted from the final report that is submitted by the external service providers appointed to administer the systemic tests. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is | The number of learners who pass the test (50% and above) is expressed as a percentage of the total number of learners |

| PPI 9 | PPI 9.1 | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Schools that have less than 5 learners in Grade 3 do not take part in the systemic tests. | |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Outcomes | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | longer time intervals | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | The actual performance that is higher than the targeted performance | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Management of the indicator is a function of the Curriculum Branch while reporting on the indicator is a function of the Directorate: Research | |

| PPI 9 | PPI 9.3 | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | % of learners in Grade 6 attaining acceptable outcomes in Language | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | This measures the proportion of learners participating in the Grade 6 systemic tests (Language),who are able to pass the tests. The pass mark for the tests is set at 50% | |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | The indicator shows the general level of proficiency of learners who are attending school at the Intermediate phase. | |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | This indicator is important as it measures the effectiveness of the education system at the foundation phase | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | collected: | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | The report of the systemic tests is the basic data source. The report contains the total number of learners who write the tests with a disaggregation of the proportion that passes and the proportion that do not pass the test. | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Directorate: Research | |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | It is extracted from the final report that is submitted by the external service providers appointed to administer the systemic tests. | |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | The number of learners who pass the test (50% and above) is expressed as a percentage of the total number of learners who wrote the test. | |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Schools that have less than 5 learners in Grade 6 do not take part in the systemic tests. | |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Outcomes | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | t longer time intervals | |

| PPIS | PPI 9.3 | | | |
|------|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Actual performancethat is higher than the targeted performance | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Management of the indicator is a function of the Curriculum Branch while reporting on the indicator is a function of the Directorate: Research. | |

| PPI 9 | 9.4 | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | % of learners in Grade 6 attaining acceptable outcomes in Mathematics |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | This measures the proportion of learners participating in the Grade 3 systemic tests (Mathematics), who are able to pass the tests. The pass mark for the tests is set at 50% |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | • |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show?3.2 Why is it important? | The indicator shows the general level of proficiency of learners who are attending school at the Intermediate phase. This indicator is important as it measures the effectiveness of the education system at the foundation phase |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | The report of the systemic tests is the basic data source. The report contains the total number of learners who write the tests with a disaggregation of the proportion that passes and the proportion that do not pass the test. |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Directorate: Research |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | It is extracted from the final report that is submitted by the external service providers appointed to administer the systemic tests. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | The number of learners who pass the test (50% and above) is expressed as a percentage of the total number of learners who wrote the test. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Schools that have less than 5 learners in Grade 6 do not take part in the systemic tests. |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other onomy or (viii) equity |
| | | | Outcomes |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х |

| PPIS | PPI 9.4 | | | |
|------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | The actual performance is higher than the targeted performance | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Management of the indicator is a function of the Curriculum Branch while reporting on the indicator is a function of the Directorate: Research | |

| PPI 9 | 9.5 | | |
|-------|--------------------------|---|---|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | % of learners in Grade 9 attaining acceptable outcomes in Languages |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | This measures the proportion of learners participating in the Grade 9 systemic tests (Language), who are able to pass the tests. The pass mark for the tests is set at 50% |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | is important |
| | · | 3.1 What does indicator show? | The indicator shows the general level of proficiency of learners who are attending school at the Senior Phase of GET. |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | This indicator is important as it measures the effectiveness of the education system at the Senior Phase of GET. |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | The report of the systemic tests is the basic data source. The report contains the total number of learners who write the tests with a disaggregation of the proportion that passes and the proportion that do not pass the test. |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Directorate: Research |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | It is extracted from the final report that is submitted by the external service providers appointed to administer the systemic tests. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | The number of learners who pass the test (50% and above) is expressed as a percentage of the total number of learners who wrote the test. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Schools that have less than 5 learners in Grade 9 do not take part in the systemic tests. |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) a dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) eco | activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other |
| | | | Outcomes |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | Х |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | X |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | The actual performance is lower than the targeted performance |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Management of the indicator is a function of the Curriculum Branch while reporting on the indicator is a function of the Directorate: Research |

| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | % of learners in Grade 9 attaining acceptable outcomes in Mathematics |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | This measures the proportion of learners participating in the Grade 9 systemic tests (Mathematics),who are able to pass the tests. The pass mark for the tests is set at 50% |
| 3 Purpose/Importance Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it is important | | | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | The indicator shows the general level of proficiency of learners who are attending school at the Intermediate phase. |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | This indicator is important as it measures the effectiveness of the education system at the foundation phase |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is collected: | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | The report of the systemic tests is the basic data source. The report contains the total number of learners who write the tests with a disaggregation of the proportion that passes and the proportion that do not pass the test. |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Directorate: Research |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | It is extracted from the final report that is submitted by the external service providers appointed to administer the systemic tests. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | The number of learners who pass the test (50% and above) is expressed as a percentage of the total number of learners who wrote the test. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | Schools that have less than 5 learners in Grade 9 do not take part in the systemic tests. |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) economy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Outcomes |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | Х |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | The actual performance is higher than the targeted performance |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | Management of the indicator is a function of the Curriculum Branch while reporting on the indicator is a function of the Directorate: Research. |

| PPIS | PPI 9.7 | | | |
|------|----------------------|---|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | Schools with a pass rate where <60% pass the National Senior Certificate | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | Indication of the number of under performing schools | |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it is important | | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show?3.2 Why is it important? | Output of under performing schools To determine if interventions are assisting in reducing the lower | |
| | | | pass rates, especially in disadvantaged areas. | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is collected: | | |

| PPIS | PPI 9.7 | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | IECS | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | DAM | |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Pass rates for schools | |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Z / W X 100 determines the pass rate for a school. Where Z is the number of candidates that passed according to the criteria from the NSC policy. Where W is the number of candidates in a school that wrote 7 subjects toward the NSC. Pass rates of all schools are filtered to determine the schools that have achieved a pass rate of below 60%. | |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | None | |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) economy or (viii) equity | | |
| | | | Output | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х | |
| 9 Reporting cycle Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at longer time intervals | | longer time intervals | | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х | |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly cha | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х | |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Lower desirable | |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | DAM | |

| PPIS | PPI 9.8 | | | |
|------|---|---|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response | |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | National Senior Certificate pass rate | |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | The percentage of learners that pass the National Senior Certificate examinations by obtaining a pass in Home Language at 40% or more and obtain a pass in two other subjects with 40% or more and pass three other subjects at 30% or more. | |
| 3 | 3 Purpose/Importance Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it is important | | is important | |
| | · | 3.1 What does indicator show? | Indicator shows % of learners that have obtained the National Senior Certificate qualification. | |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | Important to determine the achievement of the education system and the extent to which the educational output has been achieved. | |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is collected: | | |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | The data comes from the Integrated Examinations Computer System, which is a national system. | |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Mr A Clausen | |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Processed by the DBE. | |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Number of learners that passed the National Senior Certificate examinations by obtaining a pass in Home Language at 40% or more and obtain a pass in two other subjects with 40% or more and pass three other subjects at 30% or more divided by the | |

| PPI 9.8 | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| | | | number of learners that wrote the examinations. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | The data is extracted from the IECS; any problems with the IECS will be beyond the WCED's control as the IECS is a National system |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) economy or (viii) equity | |
| | | | Outputs |
| 8 Calculation type Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, OR non-cumulative | | OR non-cumulative | |
| | | 8.1 Cumulative | |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at | t longer time intervals |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 Indicator History Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly changed, or continues without change from the | | anged, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or lower than targeted performance is desirable | Higher performance is desirable |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | DDBE (Mr A Clausen) |

| PPIS | 9.9 | | |
|------|-----------------------|---|---|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| 1 | Indicator Title | Identify the title of the strategic goal, objective or programme performance indicator | % of learners who qualify for Bachelor's degree study |
| 2 | Short Definition | Provide a brief explanation of what the indicator is, with enough detail to give a general understanding of the indicator | A percentage of learners that pass the NSC with an achievement of 50-59% or more in four subjects chosen from the list of designated subjects and a minimum of 30% in the Language of Teaching and Learning of the institution. |
| 3 | Purpose/Importance | Explain what the indicator is intended to show and why it | |
| | | 3.1 What does indicator show? | Percentage of learners that can gain access to a B.Degree |
| | | 3.2 Why is it important? | Important in determining the quality of passes and ensuring progression from FET to HE |
| 4 | Source/Collection of | Describe where the information comes from and how it is | s collected: |
| | Data | 4.1 Where does data come from? | The data comes from the Integrated Examinations Computer System, which is a national system. |
| | | 4.2 Data collector/storer (section/manager name) | Mr A Clausen |
| | | 4.3 How is data collected? | Processed by the DoE. |
| 5 | Method of Calculation | Describe clearly and specifically how the indicator is calculated | Number of learners that passed the National Senior Certificate examinations by obtaining an achievement of 50-59% or more in four subjects chosen from the designated subjects chosen from the list of designated subjects and a minimum of 30% in the Language of Teaching and Learning of the institution divided by the number of learners that wrote the examinations. |
| 6 | Data Limitations | Identify any limitation with the indicator data, including factors that might be beyond the department's control | The data is extracted from the IECS, any problems with the IECS will be beyond the WCED's control as the IECS is a National system |
| 7 | Type of Indicator | Identify whether the indicator is measuring (i) inputs, (ii) activities, (iii) outputs, (iv) outcomes, (v) impact, or some other dimension of performance such as (vi) efficiency, (vii) economy or (viii) equity | |
| 8 | Calculation type | Identify whether the reported performance is cumulative, | OR non-cumulative |
| 0 | constantion type | 8.1 Cumulative | |

| PPI 9.9 | | | |
|---------|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| No | Technical Indicator | Description | Response |
| | | 8.2 Non-cumulative | Х |
| 9 | Reporting cycle | Identify if an indicator is reported quarterly, annually or at longer time intervals | |
| | | 9.1 Quarterly? | |
| | | 9.2 Annually? | Х |
| | | 9.3 Other? Please state | |
| 10 | Indicator History | Identify whether the indicator is new, has significantly changed, or continues without change from the previous year: | |
| | | 10.1 New? | |
| | | 10.2 Significantly different? | |
| | | 10.3 Same as previous year | Х |
| 11 | Desired performance | Identify whether actual performance that is higher or | |
| | | lower than targeted performance is desirable | Higher performance desirable |
| 12 | Indicator responsibility | Identify who is responsible for managing and reporting the indicator | |
| | | | Mr A Clausen |